The Myth that Pacifiers Reduce the Risk of SIDS.

The handout can be downloaded and used to follow along with the presentation that is in a format that lets you watch and listen as though you were in a lecture.

The first half of the presentation discusses the Myth that Human Breastmilk Causes Dental Decay.

See next slides for more information.
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“The Myth” that pacifiers reduce the risk of SIDS

Documentation demonstrates that pacifier usage can actually increases the risk of SIDS!

Research by McGarvey et al. in 2003 showed that “77% of SIDS cases habitually used a soother”
Order of presentation

• Introduction to SIDS.
• Basics of anatomy.
• Review of forces generated by pacifiers.
• Introduction to “The Issue”.
• Principles on which the Policy is based.
• My opinion.
Definition of SIDS:

“The sudden death of an infant under 1 year of age, which remains unexplained after a thorough case investigation, including performance of a complete autopsy, examination of the death scene, and review of the clinical history.”

Key Point: With better investigations, more understanding and awareness of SIDS, there are now fewer ‘unexplained’ deaths!

SIDS is a multifactorial condition caused by:

- Maturational process
- Medical conditions
- Environmental factors

Facts about SIDS

SIDS is the leading cause of death in infants between 1 month and 1 year of age.

Most SIDS deaths happen when babies are between 2 months and 4 months of age.

Most SIDS deaths occur in the later part of the night.
Limitations of this presentation.

Full-term, healthy babies!

Only covers SIDS from an anatomical perspective.

The possible misinformation in the research data.
Policy Statement of American Academy of Pediatrics

“Until evidence dictates otherwise, the task force recommends use of a pacifier through the first year of life according to the following procedures:”

The pacifier should be used when placing the infant down for sleep and not be reinserted once the infant falls asleep. If the infant refuses the pacifier, he or she should not be force to take it.

For breastfed infants, delay pacifier introduction until 1 month of age to ensure that breastfeeding is firmly established.

Basic anatomy of the throat #101

The difference between the throats of newborns, adults and other mammals.

Caution
There are some actually cadaver dissections in this presentation!
All mammals, including humans, are anatomically designed at birth, to breastfeed!
It was Dr. Crelin who inspired me to get involved in doing this research and in dissections.

Edmund S. Crelin, Ph.D., D.Sc.

• Faculty member at Yale for 37 years (1951-1988).
• Professor of Anatomy, Dept. of Surgery.
• Chairman: Human Growth & Development.
• Author of 168 research articles.
• Author of 3 books.
• Author of 5 CIBA Clinical Symposia.
• 3 awards at Yale as “outstanding teacher”.
I felt honored when he sent me an autographed book.

The tongue is naturally advanced past the lower gum pad and the epiglottis is in direct contact with the soft palate.

Different case.

During the act of breastfeeding, the tongue naturally extends forward over and past the lower gum pad (jaw / mandible).

Illustration from Ros Escott article, Positioning, Attachment and Milk Transfer, Breastfeeding Review, 1989.
Here is the position of the lower jaw or gum pad.

Illustration from Ros Escott article, Positioning, Attachment and Milk Transfer, Breastfeeding Review, 1989, p.35.
The front-to-back wave motion of the tongue during breastfeeding helps to develop a correct adult swallow.

Illustration from Ros Escott article, Positioning, Attachment and Milk Transfer, Breastfeeding Review, 1989, p.35.
Adult Swallow

Pre-maxilla
Warning!

Getting into showing dissection illustrations!
The advanced position of the tongue at birth.
Cadaver dissection showing close relationship between the epiglottis and soft palate.
Maturational descent of the epiglottis was found to occur between the 4\textsuperscript{th} and 6\textsuperscript{th} months of age.

This period interestingly coincides with the peak incidence of SIDS which occurs between the 3\textsuperscript{rd} to 5\textsuperscript{th} months of age.

This is a key point in understanding the anatomy of the SIDS issue!

Key Points

As the epiglottis descends, the tongue falls back into the mouth to its normal adult position.

The descent only occurs in humans!

The descent allows humans to speak!

However, it makes the acts of breathing, eating and drinking more complex!
The significance of the descent of the epiglottis to the adult position.
The significance of the descent of the epiglottis to the adult position.

- Soft palate
- Tongue can now obstruct airway.
- Epiglottis
- Note that the tongue is attached to the mandible.

The position of the jaw and tongue can determine airway space.
KEY COMPARISON between the oropharynx of a newborn and an adult.
Once the epiglottis descends, the airway is less protected from obstruction.
The significance of the epiglottis - soft palate relationship to breastfeeding!

Channels around overlap where breastmilk flows.

Pretend you are lying at the back of your throat and looking forward.

A view from the back of your throat looking forward.

- Nasal septum
- Eustachian tube
- Soft palate
- Uvula
- Tongue
- Epiglottis
- Inlet to larynx/lungs
The ‘overlap’ from 2 perspectives.

Side view.

Previous illustration altered as per Crelin’s explanation of what happens during breastfeeding.

Back view.

Overlapping of soft palate and epiglottis while newborn is breastfeeding.

Note channels on both sides of the interlock.

Note how breastmilk passes though the channels and around the epiglottis.

Note “Protected” inlet down to the lungs.

Illustration altered as per Crelin’s explanation of what happens during breastfeeding.
Note how water flows around structure in middle of the river.
Comparison as to how fluids can flow around the base of an object, leaving top protected from the fluid.
My hypothesis only!

SIDS rarely occurs during the 1st month. WHY?

The strong protective proximity between the epiglottis-soft palate.
Peak incidence of SIDS occurs between the 3rd to 5th months.

Why?

This is the period when the epiglottis is descending to the adult position.

The base of the tongue becomes the front wall of the oropharynx (throat).

**Key:** Is the brainstem mature enough to respond if the airway becomes obstructed?
Adult dog.

Destructive forces generated by pacifiers.

The baby’s mouth has to adapt to anything put in the mouth firmer than the mother’s breast.
Destructive forces generated pacifiers.

Pacifiers can drive the chin back or prevent it from growing forward.
The bulk of the pacifier can push the tongue back and down.
Pacifiers can pre-maturely separate the epiglottis and soft palate.
Blockage can occur in the area of the soft palate and tongue base.
If an infant does not respond to an airway blockage, SIDS can occur.
The relationship between retruded chins and airway obstruction!

Both infants and adults die from obstructed airways!

(Grant’s Atlas of Anatomy, Fifth Edition, 1962, Williams & Wilkins)
Again, the baby’s mouth has to adapt to anything put in the mouth firmer than the mother’s breast.

Amount of ‘suck’ on a pacifier can vary.
Palate height and nasal space.

The bone for the roof of the mouth is also the same bone as the floor of the nose.

Elevating the palate decreases nasal space and can increase airflow resistance.
Sucking on pacifiers contributes to high palates and narrow dental arches.
Comparison between the airway of a prehistoric and a modern skull.

High palates and narrow arches cause small airways.
“THE ISSUE”

Principles or assumptions on which the AAP Policy Statement is based.

The Changing Concept of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome: Diagnostic Coding Shifts, Controversies Regarding the Sleeping Environment, and New Variable to Consider in Reducing Risk.

Major reasoning for recommending placing a pacifier in an infant’s mouth when put down to sleep is based on McGarvey’s research:

“analysis of the data suggesting that usual use of a soother significantly increased the risk of SIDS while use of a soother in the last sleep period emerged as a protective factor.

“This means that only 23% of infants who died from SIDS were not pacifier users - and were probably breastfed!

Assumption #1

The pacifier pulls the tongue forward.

Following is the sequence of references on which the position that pacifiers pull the tongue forward is based. (1973-1998)
Sequence of references (1973-1998)


Swift, (Swift PG, Emery JL. Clinical observations on response to nasal occlusion in infancy. Arch Dis Child. 1973 Dec;48(12):947-51.) in 1973, was the originator of the statement that pacifiers pull the tongue forward.
How the information changed:

Swift, in 1973, stated: “presumably the dummy pushes the tongue down from the soft palate breaking the velo-lingual sphincter.”

Cozzi, in 1979, stated: “the use of a pacifier or other oral devices with a large, rigid guard designed to avoid falling out of the mouth during sleep, could probably prevent the seal of the glosso-palatal valve, thus breaking the death cycle above described.

Mitchell, in 1993, stated: “Cozzi et al suggested that in SIDS a vacuum might occur in the pharynx, pulling the tongue back and blocking the airway. They suggested that a dummy might prevent the tongue sealing off the airway. To our knowledge, however, this hypothesis has not been tested.”

L’Hoir, in 1998, stated that dummy use might “might keep the tongue forward and maintain upper airway patency.”
The AAP principle that pacifiers pull the tongue forward is based on:

- From Swift’s “presumably the dummy pushes the tongue down”
- To Cozzi’s “could probably prevent the seal”
- To Mitchell’s “a dummy might prevent”
- To L’Hoir’s “might keep the tongue forward”

Is this the science-based research that a major healthcare policy is built around?

Conclusion: “Bottle-feeding reduces masseter activity, increases buccinator activity, reduces jaw movement, and causes the tongue to retract.”

[Bottle teats and pacifiers are both artificial teats and have similar influences on tongue position.]
First AAP assumption is that the pacifier pulls the tongue forward.

Assumption #1 is invalid!
Assumption #2

The pacifier opens the airway while sleeping.
Comment by Kahn in 2002

“It was concluded that high ambient temperature could add to the difficulty to arouse from REM sleep in the late hours of the night, when most SIDS deaths occur.”

Kahn A, et al. Sudden infant deaths: from epidemiology to physiology. Forensic Science International 2002; 130S:S8-S20. (Belgium)
How can the pacifier keep the airway open while sleeping if it falls out within 30 minutes after the infant falls asleep?

ESPECIALLY since most deaths occur late at night!

Articles that state pacifiers fall out:


Even Mitchell EA, Blair PS, L’Hoir MP admit that pacifiers fall out within 30 minutes in their own article:

Since the pacifier falls out of the mouth shortly after an infant falls asleep, and the highest incidence of SIDS occurs in the later hours of the night, the assumption that the pacifier holds the airway open while the infant is asleep is invalid - because the pacifier is not even in the mouth during the time when most SIDS events occur.
Assumption #2 is invalid!

It is impossible for pacifiers to open the airway while sleeping if the pacifier falls out of the mouth shortly after the infant falls asleep!

Assumption #2 is invalid!
Some believe that pacifiers may keep an infant in a lighter stage of sleep, and therefore more alert to respond if they are having a breathing challenge - but do they understand the consequences of an infant not getting into deep sleep?

Growth Hormone (GH) gets secreted during deep sleep.
An example of ‘Hormonal orchestration’ that occurs during sleep.

More Growth Hormone secreted in Stage 4.

(Research by Dr. Soichiro Miyazaki (Japan))
How important is it for infants to get Growth Hormone?

Those who recommend the use of pacifiers will have to decide that!
Assumption #3

Breastfeeding is not protective against SIDS.
L’Hoir article - Not referenced in Policy Statement - but 3 other L’Hoir articles were.

“The fear that dummy use might stand in the way of breastfeeding is irrelevant to cot death cases, because most cot death mother did not breastfeed their infants anyhow…

Only 10% of Dutch cot death mothers do so.”

Therefore: 90% on SIDS cases are bottle-fed and use pacifiers!

Why doesn’t this demonstrate the importance of breastfeeding for reducing the incidence of SIDS?

March 2009 article


“Breastfeeding reduces the risk of SIDS.”

“Most SIDS cases (59%) occurred between ages 2 and 5 months.”

Results: “Exclusive breastfeeding at 1 month of age halved the risk.”

“This study shows that breastfeeding reduced the risk of SIDS by approximately 50% at all ages throughout infancy.”

“We recommend including the advice to breastfeed through 6 months of age in SIDS risk-reduction messages.”

Assumption #3 that breastfeeding is not protective again SIDS is invalid!
Assumption #4

Breastfeeding is not protective against otitis media.
Aniansson research:

The AOM frequency was significantly lower in the breastfed than in the non-breast-fed child.

The first AOM episode occurred significantly earlier in children who were weaned before 6 months of age.

Warren research:

Results: analyses showed that pacifier use .... was significantly associated with occurrence of otitis media.

Prevalence of otitis media was higher in pacifier users than in non-pacifier users.

The risk of developing OM is 2x greater if a pacifier is used and 5x greater if bottle-feeding.

No association was found between otitis media and breastfeeding.

Looking at the relationship between pacifier use and OM from an anatomical perspective
Forces generated by pacifiers.

Pacifiers can push the chin back, drive the tongue back, elevate the soft palate and collapse the space around the ET.
Well ventilated area around the ET.
How area can become congested.

The more congested, the greater the risk of infection.
Next illustration is an actual picture of this area in an adult.
Well ventilated nasopharynx of person who was breastfed.

Congested nasopharynx of a young adult who was a preemie and was bottle-fed and used pacifiers.
Breastfeeding is protective against Otitis Media!

Assumption #4 is invalid!
Assumption #5

The pacifier has no dental implications.
Articles I have authored that discuss oral development.


My research demonstrates that pacifiers contribute to:

• **Malocclusions such as:**
  - Open bites, high palates, narrow dental arches, retruded chins, and crossbites.

• **Increasing the risk of developing the malocclusions that put individuals at risk for snoring and developing obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) - a very serious and potentially deadly medical condition.**
AAPD Vision Statement (1996)

• “89% of youth, ages 12 - 17 years, have some occlusal disharmony.”

• “16% of youth have a severe handicapping malocclusion that requires mandatory treatment.”

These numbers are very significant when one realizes that prehistoric man rarely had malocclusions!

Pacifiers do have dental consequences.

Assumption #5 is invalid!
If all principles or assumptions of the policy are invalid - then the policy itself is invalid!
Recommendation

Scan heads of high risk and SIDS victims with 3D equipment to see if any obstructions are present and if other risk factors are present such as high palates, narrow dental arches and retruded chins.

X-ray exposure of living children is an issue.
Obstructed airway

Open airway

(Grant’s Atlas of Anatomy, Fifth Edition, 1962, Williams & Wilkins, )
Note separation between soft palate and epiglottis.

Soft palate

Epiglottis
Septum shape

Turbinates

Shape and height of palate
The oath of healthcare providers is to ‘do no harm’.

Does recommending pacifiers to reduce the risk of SIDS do any harm?

This is your decision to make!
Regarding AAP Position Policy on the use of pacifiers to prevent SIDS:

My opinion is that the policy is not based on solid science-based principles and has the potential to have severe and even deadly consequences.

I believe the policy should be retracted!

** My opinion only! **
I thank you for your attention!

Brian Palmer DDS